

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/666,704	Applicant(s) LEAH ET AL.	
	Examiner Eric V. Woods	Art Unit 2672	

All Participants:

(1) Eric V. Woods.

(2) David Purks (40,133).

Status of Application: Pending

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 24 June 2005

Time: 1 p.m. EST

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

34

Prior art documents discussed:

Newly submitted Weitzman (US 2003/0200347)

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner called applicant to propose an amendment to deal with a potential double patenting issue regarding claim 1 of the Weitzman reference vs. claim 34 of the instant application, and applicant's representative gave permission for certain language to be added to claim 34. .